

New Jersey Schools Insurance Group 6000 Midlantic Drive, Suite 300 North Mount Laurel, New Jersey 08054 www.njsig.org

Request for proposal: A-2017-0002

For: Payroll administration

database software and

services

Evaluation Criteria Weight

May 5, 2017

	Criteria	Weight, %
1. Techr		
a.	Does the proposer's proposal demonstrate a clear understanding of the scope of work and related objectives?	
b.	Is the proposer's proposal complete and responsive to the specific RFQ requirements?	250/
c.	Has the past performance of the proposer's proposed methodology been documented?	35%
d.	Does the proposer's proposal use innovative technology and techniques?	
e.	Are sound environmental practices such as recycling, energy efficiency, and waste reduction used?	
Management Criteria		
1. Project management:		
a.	How well does the proposed scheduling timeline meet the contracting unit's needs?	
b.	Is there a project management plan?	
2. History and experience in performing the work:		
a.	Does the proposer document a record of reliability of timely delivery and on-time and on-budget implementation?	
b.	Does the proposer demonstrate a track record of service as evidenced by on-time, on-budget, and contract compliance performance?	35%
C.	Does the proposer document industry or program experience?	

d. Does the proposer have a record of moral integrity?	
3. Availability of personnel, facilities, equipment and other resources:	
a. To what extent does the proposer rely on in-house resources vs. contracted resources?	
b. Are the availability of in-house and contract resources documented?	
4. Qualification and experience of personnel:	
a. Documentation of experience in performing similar work by employees and when appropriate, sub-contractors?	
b. Does the proposer make use of business capabilities or initiatives that involve women, the disadvantaged, small and/or minority owned business establishments?	
c. Does the proposer demonstrate cultural sensitivity in hiring and training staff?	
Cost Criteria	
Cost of goods to be provided or services to be performed:	
a. Relative cost: How does the cost compare to other similarly scored proposals?	
b. Full explanation. Is the price and its component charges, fees, etc. adequately explained or documented?	
2. Assurances of performance:	
a. If required, are suitable bonds, warranties, or guarantees provided?	30%
b. Does the proposal include quality control and assurance programs?	

- 3. Proposer's financial stability and strength:
 - a. Does the proposer have sufficient financial resources to meet its obligations?